

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/619,648	HETZLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133	

All Participants:

(1) Mujtaba K. Chaudry.

(2) Joseph Curtin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 February 2006

Time: 5:15pm est

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An attempt for provisional restriction requirement was made on Tuesday, February 7, 2006 with Applicant's Attorney, Joseph Curtin. A written request was requested.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/7/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)